

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 were pending in this application. Claims 1, 3 and 26 have been amended. All amendments are supported by the specification and drawings of the present invention. Claim 4 has now been canceled. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the reconsideration of claims 1-3, 5-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 in light of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claims 1 and 26 are objected because of the informalities in the limitation “examining said a plurality of items of said resource provider thereby certify said resource provider” in claims 1 and 26. Applicants have amended claims 1 and 26 been amended to recite “examining said a plurality of items of said resource provider thereby certifying said resource provider” as the Examiner suggested. The objections of claims 1 and 26 are therefore believed to have been overcome and Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the objections to claims 1 and 26.

CLAIM REJECTIONS- 35 U.S.C. SECTION 112

Claims 1–7, 11 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being vague and indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regard as the invention. The rejection is rendered moot as to the canceled claims. Applicants have amended the rejected claims to address the Examiner’s concerns, as detailed below.

Examiner is of the opinion that the limitation “communicatively coupling said

resource provider with said electronic hub system and providing a plurality of items of said resource provider to said electronic hub system by said resource provider for examining, wherein a business model is composed of said a plurality of items" recited in claim 1 is not clear how a business model is tied with the claimed limitation and when data provided by resource provider is stored in the system.

In this Amendment, claim 1 is amended to provide that the electronic hub system comprises a data storage device including a plurality of databases built therein, a business model is formed by said a plurality of items and saving a record of the items of the resource provider in one of said a plurality of databases. These amendments clarify how a business model is tied with the claimed limitation and when data provided by resource provider is stored in the system. Support for these features is found, for example, in Fig. 2, Fig.2A, Fig. 3, Fig. 3A and the description about them in the specification of the present invention (page 7, lines 20-21, page 7, line 25- page 8, line 1, page 8, lines 16-22, page 8, line 24-page 10, line 16 and page 10, line 18-page 11, line 4).

In page 7, lines 20-21, page 7, lines 25- page 8, lines 1 and Fig. 2, the feature that a data storage device including a plurality of databases built therein, for example resource database and certified resource database, is disclosed. The feature that the resource provider provides a plurality of items of the resource provider to the electronic hub system and a business model is formed from the items provided by the resource provider is supported by at least Fig. 2A, Fig. 3, Fig. 3A and the associated text in the specification.

For example, the specification discloses "each resource provider... needs to connect to the eHub of the business eHub system for resource registration (step 41) ...The business eHub system may perform a series of the written and substantial examinations for the

registered resource provider. The items of examinations, for example, the capital provider's financial records with bank, the owner authority of the factory buildings or land, the members' education and background of the technology group..." (page 9, lines 9-20) shows that a plurality of the items of the resource provider are provided to the electronic hub system for certifying or examining after or during the resource registration. Additionally, Fig. 2A and the description of Fig. 2A show that a business model consists many items and corresponding status 18, such as class 11, scope 12, capital 13, office area 14, technology 15, employer 16, rules and regulation 17, and so on. The items of the business model is the same as the items provided by resource provider and it implies that a business model for the resource provider is formed by the items provided by resource provider after the items are provided. Therefore, the amendment in claim 1 about the feature that a business model is formed by the items provided by the resource provider is supported by the specification and drawings of the present invention. In addition, Fig. 3A and the description of Fig. 3A show that the items provided by the resource provider are saved in the resource database (step 56) after the resource provider finishes the registration and thus the amendment in claim 1 about the feature that saving a record of the items of the resource provider in one of said a plurality of databases is disclosed by the present invention. Therefore, above-mentioned amendments in claim 1 are supported by the drawings and specification of the present invention.

Examiner is further of the opinion that the limitation "storing a record of said certified resource provider along with the data regarding the certify items in said electronic hub system" recited in claim 1 is not clear when the data provided by resource provider is stored in the system after the resource provider is examined.

According to Fig. 3A, Fig. 3B and the description of Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B, the data provided by resource provider is stored in one database (resource database) of the data storage device after the data is provided and then the certified data is stored in another database (certified resource database) of the data storage device after the data is examined or certified. The data provided by resource provider is stored both when the data is provided and when the data complete the examination or certification, but sorted in the resource database and the certified resource database respectively. In this amendment, claim 1 is amended to provide that saving a record of said items of said resource provider in one of said a plurality of databases and storing a record of said certified resource provider along with the data regarding the certify items in another of said a plurality of databases. These amendments clearly show when the data after provided by resource provider is stored and when the data after the resource provider is stored. And they clearly show where the data after provided by resource provider is stored and where the data after the resource provider is stored.

Examiner is further of the opinion that the limitation “implementing matches and forming business plans for said certified resource provider based on similarities to business models of the certified resource providers to enable efficient obtainment of resources necessary for establishing a company or a factory, wherein said business plans are created or select from said electronic hub system base on request of said certified resource providers” recited in claim 1 is not clear which one the business plans are formed for.

According to Fig. 3C and the description of Fig. 3C, the business eHub system may send the messages to the resource providers related to the possible business plans

and further display the possible business plans in the web-site of the eHub(step 73). It is obvious that the resource providers related to the possible business plans include the certified resource provider providing the request and the certified resource provider matched the request. Therefore, the business plans related to the resource providers mean and imply that the business plans are formed or selected for the certified resource provider providing the request and the certified resource provider matched the request. Accordingly, in this amendment, claim 1 is amended to provide that implementing matches and forming business plans for a requesting certified resource provider and matched certified resource provider stored in said electronic hub system for clearly showing which one the business plans are formed for.

Examiner is further of the opinion that the limitation “sending and displaying said business plans to said certified resource providers for deciding whether said certified resource providers take in part in the said business plans” recited in claim 1 is not clear what is done after the certified resource provider has made a decision.

According to page 10, lines 5-16 of the specification of the present invention, the electronic hub system simultaneously plays the role of the brokerage, matching and screening on the foundation of the company or the factory during the electronic business for helping the certified resource providers communicate each other or make contrasts if they cooperate in accordance with the match provided by the electronic hub system.

Accordingly, in this amendment, claim 1 is amended to provide that if said certified resource providers cooperate in accordance with the match provide by said electronic hub system, and then said electronic hub system help the said certified resource providers communicate each other or make contrasts for clearly showing what is done after the

certified resource provider has made a decision.

Claims 1–7, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being incomplete for omitting essential steps because it is not clearly whether the data provided by resource provider is communicated to be manually certified by a certifier.

According to page 9, lines 14-16 of the specification of the present invention, the electronic hub system (the business eHub system) may proceed a series of the written and substantial examinations for the registered resource provider. It is obvious that the data is examination by the electronic hub system, not by manual step. Accordingly, in this amendment, claim 1 is amended to provide that examining said a plurality of items of said resource provider by said electronic hub system for clearly showing whether the data provided by resource provider is communicated to be manually certified by a certifier.

Claims 26-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. Examiner is of the opinion that Claims 26-30 are not clear because it is not clearly whether the data provided by resource provider is communicated to be manually certified by a certifier.

According to page 9, lines 14-16 of the specification of the present invention, the electronic hub system (the business eHub system) may proceed a series of the written and substantial examinations for the registered resource provider. It is obvious that the data is examination by the electronic hub system, not by manual step. Accordingly, in this Amendment, claim 26 is amended to provide that examine said a plurality of items of said resource provider by said electronic hub system for clearly showing whether the data provided by resource provider is communicated to be manually certified by a certifier.

Appl. No. 09/769,091
Admit. Dated: January 24, 2008
Reply to Office action of October 24, 2007

For at least the reasons stated above, Applicants respectfully submits that the rejections have been overcome and request the withdrawal of the rejections.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 1-7, 11 14 and 26-30 are deemed to allowable if written to overcome the rejections of 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and claim objection, as set forth in this Office Action. As set forth above, claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and claim objections have been overcome for all pending claim except claim 4, which is now canceled. Therefore, claims 1-3, 5-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 are in the in the condition for allowance and a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 as currently presented are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

23552

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
P.O. Box 2903
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903
(612) 332-5300

Tong Wu
Reg. No. 43,361
TW:cjc

Date: January 24, 2008